

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,649	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel F. Hajnik	2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel F. Hajnik (Examiner).

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Chris Comuntzis (#31,097).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2007

**Time:** 1PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

*Talwani et al.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance and applicant agreed.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)